1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BLOOD HURST & O'REARDON, LLP TIMOTHY G. BLOOD (149343) THOMAS J. O'REARDON II (247952) 501 West Broadway, Suite 1490 San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: 619/338-1100 619/338-1101 (fax) tblood@bholaw.com THE LAW OFFICES OF ANDREW J. BROWN ANDREW J. BROWN (160562) 501 West Broadway, Suite 1490 San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: 619/501-6550 andrewb@thebrownlawfirm.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SAN JOSE DIVISION	
13	JOHN COFFEE, MEI-LING MONTANEZ,	Case No. 5:20-cv-03901-BLF
14 15	and S.M., a minor by MEI-LING MONTANEZ, S.M.'s parent and guardian, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT GOOGLE LLC'S OBJECTION AND MOTION TO STRIKE
16	Plaintiffs,	PLAINTIFFS' PROFFER RE: MOTION TO DISMISS FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT [ECF NO. 80]
17	V.	CLASS ACTION
18	GOOGLE LLC,	District Judge Beth Labson Freeman
19 20	Defendant.	Courtroom 3, 5th Floor, San Jose Magistrate Judge Susan van Keulen Courtroom 6, 4th Floor, San Jose
21		Complaint Filed: June 12, 2020 Trial Date: Not Set
22		JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		Coso No. 5:20 ov 02001 RIE

00164905

PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO GOOGLE'S OBJECTION AND MOTION TO STRIKE PLAINTIFFS' PROFFER

Plaintiffs John Coffee, Mei-Ling Montanez, and S.M., a Minor by Mei-Ling Montanez, S.M.'s parent and guardian, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, submit the following response to Google LLC's Objection and Motion to Strike Plaintiffs' Proffer Re: Motion to Dismiss First Amended Class Action Complaint. *See* ECF No. 80.

In response to the Court's questioning during oral argument about whether any of the Plaintiffs paid money directly to Google for loot boxes, Plaintiffs' counsel inquired of their clients. Although Plaintiffs contend it is irrelevant whether they purchased loot boxes with fiat currency (money) or with virtual currency (property) pursuant to an unfair business practice where use of fiat currency is part of the improper sales practice, the Court asked the question and Plaintiffs' counsel found out the answer.

Because the issue arises on a motion to dismiss, which is not an evidentiary motion, Plaintiffs presented a proffer of what they could allege in good faith should the Court find the distinction in the manner of payment is relevant. This is not intended to be evidence to establish a fact any more than an allegation in a complaint is evidence. Therefore, Google's evidentiary objections are inapposite.

Nonetheless, most telling is what Google fails to say in its objection. Google does not dispute that Plaintiff Coffee directly purchased loot boxes from Google with fiat currency. It also fails to present any evidence or proffer of its own that Exhibit A attached to the Proffer is anything other than a payment record generated by Google which it provided to Plaintiff. Rather than concede a fact known to it, Google attempts to hide its conduct behind inapposite objections. Further, contrary to statements made by Google in its objection, the First Amended Complaint alleges Plaintiff Coffee purchased loot boxes in the very games identified in the Proffer. *See* FAC, ¶ 11 ("While playing ... Puzzles & Dragons ... and Clash Royale, and as a result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff lost money and property by purchasing 'loot boxes'..."). Google's questionable tactics underscore the reason

25 | ///

26 ///

27 | ///

///

Case No. 5:20-cy-03901-BLF

	Case 5.20-cv-03901-BLF Documen	11 01 Filed 11/00/21 Fage 3 01 4
1	Google should be required to answer the fact intensive First Amended Complaint and discovery	
2	should proceed.	
3	Google's objections should be overruled and its motion to strike should be denied.	
4		Respectfully submitted,
5	Dated: November 8, 2021	BLOOD HURST & O'REARDON, LLP
6		TIMOTHY G. BLOOD (149343) THOMAS J. O'REARDON II (247952)
7		Pur s/ Timothy C. Pland
8		By: s/ Timothy G. Blood TIMOTHY G. BLOOD
9		501 West Broadway, Suite 1490
10		San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: 619/338-1100
11		619/338-1101 (fax) tblood@bholaw.com
12		toreardon@bholaw.com
13		THE LAW OFFICES OF ANDREW J. BROWN ANDREW J. BROWN (160562)
14		501 West Broadway, Suite 1490 San Diego, CA 92101
15		Tel: 619/501-6550 andrewb@thebrownlawfirm.com
16		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		2 Case No. 5:20-cv-03901-BLF

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on November 8, 2021, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the e-mail addresses denoted on the Electronic Mail Notice List, and I hereby certify that I have mailed the foregoing document or paper via the United States Postal Service to the non-CM/ECF participants indicated on the Electronic Mail Notice List. I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on November 8, 2021. s/ Timothy G. Blood TIMOTHY G. BLOOD

Case No. 5:20-cv-03901-BLF